

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of financial conditions and results of operations should be read in conjunction with NuVista Energy Ltd.'s ("NuVista") unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and NuVista's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011. The following MD&A of financial condition and results of operations was prepared at and is dated August 9, 2012. Our December 31, 2011 audited consolidated financial statements, Annual Information Form and other disclosure documents for 2011 are available through our filings on SEDAR at www.sedar.com or can be obtained from our website at www.nuvistaenergy.com.

Basis of presentation – Unless otherwise noted, the financial data presented below has been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") also known as International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). The reporting and measurement currency is the Canadian dollar. Natural gas is converted to a barrel of oil equivalent ("Boe") using six thousand cubic feet of gas to one barrel of oil. In certain circumstances natural gas liquid volumes have been converted to a thousand cubic feet equivalent ("Mcf") on the basis of one barrel of natural gas liquids to six thousand cubic feet of gas. Boes and Mcfes may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. The foregoing conversion ratios are based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead. Given that the value ratio based on the current price of crude oil as compared to natural gas is significantly different from the energy equivalency of 6:1, utilizing a conversion ratio on a 6:1 basis may be misleading as an indication of value.

Advisory regarding forward-looking information and statements – This MD&A contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information (collectively, "forward-looking statements") within the meaning of applicable securities laws. The use of any of the words "will", "expects", "believe", "plans", "potential" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. More particularly and without limitation, this MD&A contains forward looking statements, including management's assessment of: NuVista's future focus, strategy, plans, opportunities and operations; financial risk management strategy; forecast production; NuVista's planned capital budget; targeted debt level; the timing, allocation and efficiency of NuVista's capital program and the results therefrom; NuVista's planned divesture program; the anticipated potential and growth opportunities associated with NuVista's asset base; forecast funds from operations; the source of funding of capital expenditures; the objectives and focus of NuVista's capital program and the allocation thereof; asset retirement obligations and the amount and timing of expenditures relating to such asset retirement obligations and the source of funding thereof; NuVista's risk management strategy; expectations regarding future commodity prices, netbacks and price differentials; industry conditions; anticipated accounting changes and the impact on NuVista's operations and financial position and the timing of providing additional guidance.

By their nature, forward-looking statements are based upon certain assumptions and are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond NuVista's control, including the impact of general economic conditions, industry conditions, current and future commodity prices, currency and interest rates, anticipated production rates, borrowing, operating and other costs and funds from operations, the timing, allocation and amount of capital expenditures and the results therefrom, anticipated reserves and the imprecision of reserve estimates, the performance of existing wells, the success obtained in drilling new wells, the sufficiency of budgeted capital expenditures in carrying out planned activities, competition from other industry participants, availability of qualified personnel or services and drilling and related equipment, stock market volatility, effects of regulation by governmental agencies including changes in environmental regulations, tax laws and royalties; the ability to access sufficient capital from internal sources and bank and equity markets; and including, without limitation, those risks considered under "Risk Factors" in our Annual Information Form. Readers are cautioned that the assumptions used in the preparation of such information, although considered reasonable at the time of preparation, may prove to be imprecise and, as such, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements. NuVista's actual results, performance or

achievement could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements, or if any of them do so, what benefits NuVista will derive therefrom. NuVista disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

Non-GAAP measurements – Within the MD&A, references are made to terms commonly used in the oil and natural gas industry. Management uses funds from operations to analyze operating performance and leverage. Funds from operations as presented, does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and therefore it may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Funds from operations as presented is not intended to represent operating cash flow or operating profits for the period nor should it be viewed as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities, per the statement of cash flows, net earnings (loss) or other measures of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP. All references to funds from operations throughout this MD&A are based on cash flow from operating activities before changes in non-cash working capital and asset retirement expenditures. Trailing twelve months funds from operations is calculated as cash flow from operating activities before changes in non-cash working capital and asset retirement expenditures for the preceding twelve month period. Funds from operations per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding consistent with the calculation of net earnings (loss) per share. Funds from operations netbacks equal total revenues including realized commodity derivative gains/losses less royalties, transportation, operating costs, general and administrative, restricted stock unit, restricted share award, interest expense and cash taxes. Management also uses operating netbacks to analyze operating performance and adjusted working capital to analyze leverage. Adjusted net earnings (loss) is calculated as net earnings (loss) excluding non-recurring or non-cash items that management believes reduces the comparability of the NuVista's financial performance between periods. Thereafter tax items include, but are not limited to unrealized gains/losses on commodity derivatives, impairments and impairment reversals, goodwill impairments, gains/losses on divestures and the effect of changes in statutory income tax rate. Operating netbacks and adjusted working capital as presented, do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and therefore, may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Operating netbacks equal the total of revenue including realized commodity derivative gains/losses less royalties, transportation and operating costs. Adjusted working capital equals working capital excluding the current portion of the commodity derivative asset or liability. Total Boe is calculated by multiplying the daily production by the number of days in the period.

Description of business – NuVista is an oil and natural gas company actively engaged in the exploration for and the development and production of oil and natural gas reserves. NuVista's assets are concentrated within three core regions of the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin – Alberta Deep Basin, Eastern Alberta and Saskatchewan, and Northwest Alberta and British Columbia. The common shares of NuVista trade on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") under the symbol NVA.

Operating activities – For the three months ended June 30, 2012, NuVista drilled 2 (1.2 net) wells resulting in 1 (0.3 net) oil well and 1 (0.9 net) natural gas well. NuVista drilled 1 (0.3 net) oil well in Oyen and 1 (0.9 net) Montney gas well in our Wapiti operating area.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, NuVista drilled 13 (10.2 net) wells resulting in 7 (5.3 net) oil wells, 5 (3.9 net) natural gas wells and 1 (1.0 net) dry hole for an overall success rate of 92%. NuVista operated 10 of the wells with 2 heavy oil wells drilled in the Oyen operating area, 2 heavy oil wells drilled in the Provost operating area, 3 heavy oil wells drilled in the Central Saskatchewan operating area and 3 gas wells drilled in the Wapiti operating area.

Production

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Natural gas (Mcf/d)	98,088	103,787	(5)	101,773	105,563	(4)
Liquids (Bbls/d)	3,125	3,008	4	3,161	3,051	4
Oil (Bbls/d)	3,994	5,182	(23)	4,236	5,137	(18)
Total oil equivalent (Boe/d)	23,467	25,488	(8)	24,359	25,781	(6)

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, NuVista's average production was 23,467 Boe/d, comprised of 98.1 MMcf/d of natural gas, 3,125 Bbls/d of associated natural gas liquids ("liquids") and 3,994 Bbls/d of oil, which is a 7% decrease from average production of 25,250 Boe/d from the first quarter of 2012 and an 8% decrease compared to the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in NuVista's production during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was primarily due to third party facility outages. During the three months ended June 30, 2012 production was lower due to approximately 650 Boe/d of scheduled outages, 800 Boe/d of unscheduled outages and 300 Boe/d of shut-in natural gas production.

NuVista's production for the six months ended June 30, 2012 averaged 24,359 Boe/d comprised of 101.8 MMcf/d of natural gas, 3,161 Bbls/d of liquids and 4,236 Bbls/d of oil, which represents an overall 6% average decrease over the same period in 2011. The decrease in production for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is primarily due to lower capital spending, scheduled and unscheduled third-party facility outages and a shift in the focus of our drilling program from heavy oil wells in West Central Saskatchewan to Wapiti Montney liquids-rich natural gas wells with a longer cycle time between drilling and production dates.

Oil and liquids weighting in the second quarter of 2012 was 30% compared to 32% in the same period in 2011 and 30% in the first quarter of 2012. Of our year to date average liquids production, 38% was condensate that sells at a premium to light oil and 40% was butane and propane.

Commodity prices

Benchmark pricing

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Natural gas – AECO (daily) (\$/GJ)	1.80	3.67	(51)	1.92	3.62	(47)
Natural gas – AECO (monthly) (\$/GJ)	1.74	3.54	(51)	2.06	3.56	(42)
Oil – WTI (US\$/Bbl)	93.49	102.56	(9)	98.21	98.33	-
Oil – Edmonton Par – WTI Differential (US\$/Bbl)	(10.14)	4.36	(333)	(10.36)	0.25	(4244)
Oil – WCS-WTI Differential (US\$/Bbl)	22.87	17.64	30	22.14	20.35	9
Exchange rate (Cdn\$/US\$)	1.0100	0.9676	4	1.0055	0.9678	4

Average selling prices ⁽¹⁾

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	% Change	2012	2011	% Change
Natural gas (\$/Mcf)	2.00	3.95	(49)	2.25	3.97	(43)
Liquids (\$/Bbl)	53.19	66.39	(20)	59.75	62.49	(4)
Oil (\$/Bbl)	69.35	85.41	(19)	72.73	79.14	(8)

⁽¹⁾ Prices exclude price risk management realized and unrealized gains and losses on financial derivative commodity contracts and includes gains and losses on physical sale contracts.

NuVista markets its natural gas based on a mix of monthly and daily AECO pricing. During this declining and uncertain natural gas price environment, NuVista has been selling the majority of its gas based on AECO monthly pricing to reduce pricing uncertainty. NuVista's average selling price for gas in the second quarter of 2012 was \$2.00/Mcf compared to \$3.95/Mcf for same period in 2011 and \$2.47/Mcf in the first quarter of 2012. For the first six months of 2012 the average selling price was \$2.25/Mcf versus \$3.97/Mcf for the same period in 2011.

The price NuVista receives for its oil production is primarily driven by the price of WTI, less a discount to Western Canada for heavier grades. NuVista's light oil sales closely match the Edmonton Par price and heavy oil sales closely match the WCS heavy oil benchmark. WTI prices were 9% lower in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the second quarter of 2011. For the first six months of 2012 WTI prices were relatively unchanged at US\$98.21/Bbl compared to \$98.33/Bbl over the first half of 2011. Realized oil prices were down 19% in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 and down 8% in the first half versus the first half of 2011. The decline in realized prices was due to widening light oil and heavy oil discounts to WTI crude oil. NuVista's exposure to the widening heavy oil discounts in the first half 2012 was mitigated by price risk management contracts that fixed the heavy oil differential at US\$13.85/Bbl for 3,000 Bbls/d.

Natural gas liquids include condensate, butane, propane and ethane. Condensate prices are highly correlated to light oil prices and ethane prices are highly correlated to natural gas prices. Propane and butane trade at varying discounts to light oil prices depending on market conditions. NuVista realized an average price of \$53.19/Bbl for liquids sales in the second quarter of 2012 representing approximately a 20% decrease over the same period of 2011. For the first two quarters of 2012, the average price was down 4% versus the same period in 2011. This decrease was primarily due to propane and butane prices weakening relative to WTI.

Revenues

(\$ thousands, except per unit amounts)	Three months ended June 30,					
	2012		2011		% Change	
Natural gas	\$	\$/Mcf	\$	\$/Mcf	\$	\$/Mcf
Revenue ⁽¹⁾	17,871	2.00	37,271	3.95	(52)	(49)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(373)	(0.04)	(304)	(0.03)	23	33
Total natural gas	17,498	1.96	36,967	3.92	(53)	(50)
Liquids	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl
Revenue	15,126	53.19	18,173	66.39	(17)	(20)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total liquids	15,126	53.19	18,173	66.39	(17)	(20)
Oil	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl
Revenue	25,204	69.35	40,275	85.41	(37)	(19)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	1,110	3.05	(2,687)	(5.70)	(141)	(154)
Total oil	26,314	72.40	37,588	79.71	(30)	(9)
Total	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe
Revenue	58,201	27.25	95,719	41.27	(39)	(34)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	737	0.35	(2,991)	(1.29)	(125)	(127)
Total revenue	58,938	27.60	92,728	39.98	(36)	(31)

⁽¹⁾ Natural gas revenue includes price risk management gains and losses on physical sale contracts. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, our physical sale contracts totaled \$1.3 million (2011 – \$nil).

(\$ thousands, except per unit amounts)	Six months ended June 30,					
	2012		2011		% Change	
	\$	\$/Mcf	\$	\$/Mcf	\$	\$/Mcf
Natural gas						
Revenue ⁽¹⁾	41,620	2.25	75,865	3.97	(45)	(43)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(1,182)	(0.06)	(48)	-	2,363	-
Total natural gas	40,438	2.19	75,817	3.97	(47)	(45)
Liquids	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl
Revenue	34,372	59.75	34,508	62.49	-	(4)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total liquids	34,372	59.75	34,508	62.49	-	(4)
Oil	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Bbl
Revenue	56,065	72.73	73,583	79.14	(24)	(8)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(932)	(1.21)	(5,901)	(6.35)	(84)	(81)
Total oil	55,133	71.52	67,682	72.79	(19)	(2)
Total	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe
Revenue	132,057	29.79	183,956	39.42	(28)	(24)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(2,114)	(0.48)	(5,949)	(1.27)	(64)	(62)
Total revenue	129,943	29.31	178,007	38.15	(27)	(23)

⁽¹⁾ Natural gas revenue includes price risk management gains and losses on physical sale contracts. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our physical sale contracts totaled \$1.3 million (2011 – \$nil).

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, revenues including realized commodity derivative gains and losses were \$58.9 million, a 36% decrease from \$92.7 million for the same period in 2011 and a decrease of 17% or \$12.1 million compared to the first quarter of 2012. The decrease in revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 is primarily due to a 8% decrease in production volumes and a 31% decrease in overall realized prices. Revenues were comprised of \$17.5 million of natural gas revenue, \$15.1 million of liquids revenue and \$26.3 million of oil revenue. The decrease in average realized commodity prices is comprised of a 50% decrease in the natural gas price to \$1.96/Mcf from \$3.92/Mcf, a 20% decrease in the liquids price to \$53.19/Bbl from \$66.39/Bbl and an 9% decrease in the oil price to \$72.40/Bbl from \$79.71/Bbl.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, revenues including realized commodity derivative gains and losses were \$129.9 million, a 27% decrease from \$178.0 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease in revenues for the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 is primarily due to a decrease in production and natural gas pricing. These revenues were comprised of \$40.4 million of natural gas revenue, \$34.4 million of liquids revenue and \$55.1 million of oil revenue. The decrease in average realized commodity prices is comprised of an 45% decrease in the natural gas price to \$2.19/Mcf from \$3.97/Mcf, a 4% decrease in the liquids price to \$59.75/Bbl from \$62.49/Bbl and an decrease of 2% in the oil price to \$71.52/Bbl from \$72.79/Bbl.

Commodity price risk management

(\$ thousands)	Three months ended June 30,					
	2012			2011		
	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)
Natural gas	(373)	654	281	(304)	(880)	(1,184)
Oil	1,110	10,745	11,855	(2,687)	7,464	4,777
Total gain (loss)	737	11,399	12,136	(2,991)	6,584	3,593

Six months ended June 30,

(\$ thousands)	2012			2011		
	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)	Realized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Gain (Loss)
Natural gas	(1,182)	1,227	45	(48)	(1,721)	(1,769)
Oil	(932)	13,761	12,829	(5,901)	3,480	(2,421)
Total gain (loss)	(2,114)	14,988	12,874	(5,949)	1,759	(4,190)

NuVista has adopted a disciplined commodity price risk management program as part of its financial risk management strategy. The purpose of this program is to reduce volatility in the financial results, protect acquisition economics and help stabilize cash flow against the unpredictable commodity price environment. NuVista's Board of Directors has approved a price risk management limit of up to 60% of forecast production, net of royalties, using fixed price, differential, put option and costless collar contracts. Both commodity derivative and physical sale contracts are entered into to achieve NuVista's price risk management objectives.

During the second quarter of 2012, the commodity price risk management program resulted in a gain of \$12.1 million, consisting of realized gains of \$0.7 million and unrealized gains of \$11.4 million on natural gas and oil contracts. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the commodity price risk management program resulted in a gain of \$12.9 million, consisting of realized losses of \$2.1 million and unrealized gains of \$15.0 million on natural gas and oil contracts. As at June 30, 2012, the mark-to-market value of the financial derivative commodity contracts was a net liability of \$0.6 million.

Price risk management gains on our physical sale contracts totaled \$1.3 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The physical sale contracts are entered into the normal course of business. As at June 30, 2012, the mark-to-market value of the physical purchase and sale contracts was a loss of \$3.4 million (June 30, 2011 – \$1.4 million gain) and are not included in the statement of financial position as they are not considered financial instruments.

(a) Financial instruments

The following is a summary of financial instruments outstanding as at June 30, 2012:

	Volume	Pricing	Premium	Remaining term
WTI crude oil contracts				
Fixed price swap	2,350 Bbls/d	Cdn \$84.67/Bbl		Jul 1, 2012 - Sep 30, 2012
Fixed price swap	2,350 Bbls/d	Cdn \$84.67/Bbl		Oct 1, 2012 - Dec 31, 2012
Fixed price swap	1,350 Bbls/d	Cdn \$86.39/Bbl		Jan 1, 2013 - Mar 31, 2013
Fixed price swap ⁽¹⁾	600 Bbls/d	Cdn \$91.66/Bbl		Apr 1, 2013 - Jun 30, 2013
Fixed price swap ⁽²⁾	500 Bbls/d	Cdn \$93.29/Bbl		Jul 1, 2013 - Sep 30, 2013
Put option	750 Bbls/d	Cdn \$106.70/Bbl	Cdn \$6.64/Bbl	Jul 1, 2012 - Sep 30, 2012
Put option	250 Bbls/d	Cdn \$104.80/Bbl	Cdn \$6.83/Bbl	Oct 1, 2012 - Dec 31, 2012
Put option	250 Bbls/d	Cdn \$104.80/Bbl	Cdn \$6.83/Bbl	Jan 1, 2013 - Mar 31, 2013
Put option	83 Bbls/d	Cdn \$104.80/Bbl	Cdn \$6.83/Bbl	Apr 1, 2013 - Jun 30, 2013
NYMEX natural gas contracts				
AECO differential	20,000 MMbtu/d	US \$(0.59)/MMbtu		Jul 1, 2012 - Oct 31, 2012

⁽¹⁾ Reduced to 350 Bbls/d at Cdn \$91.42/Bbl for months during the period April 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013 where the Cdn\$ WTI price averages less than Cdn \$65.00/Bbl.

⁽²⁾ Reduced to 250 Bbls/d at Cdn \$94.58/Bbl for months during the period July 1, 2013 to September 30, 2013 where the Cdn\$ WTI price averages less than Cdn \$65.00/Bbl.

Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the following financial instruments have been entered into:

	Volume	Pricing	Premium	Remaining term
WTI crude oil contracts				
Put option	100 Bbls/d	Cdn \$94.40/Bbl	Cdn \$6.98/Bbl	Aug 1, 2012 - Jul 31, 2013

(b) Physical purchase and sale contracts

The following is a summary of physical purchase and sale contracts outstanding as at June 30, 2012:

	Volume	Pricing	Remaining term
Natural gas contracts			
AECO fixed price swap	5,000 GJ/d	Cdn \$3.41/GJ	Jul 1, 2012 - Nov 30, 2012
AECO fixed price swap	10,000 GJ/d	Cdn \$3.02/GJ	Jan 1, 2013 - Mar 31, 2013
AECO fixed price swap	8,333 GJ/d	Cdn \$3.02/GJ	Apr 1, 2013 - Jun 30, 2013
Costless Collar	55,000 GJ/d	Cdn \$1.70 - \$2.04/GJ	Jul 1, 2012 - Dec 31, 2012
Electricity contracts			
Fixed price	4.0 Mwh	Cdn \$65.64/Mwh	Jul 1, 2012 - Dec 31, 2013

These physical purchase and sale contracts are not considered financial instruments and are being accounted for as they settle.

Royalties

Royalty rates (%)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Natural gas and liquids	10	15	12	15
Oil	12	16	13	14
Weighted average rate	11	15	12	15

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, royalties were \$6.3 million, 56% lower than the \$14.3 million for the same period of 2011. Royalties for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$16.5 million, 38% lower than the \$26.7 million reported for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in royalties for three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 is largely due to lower royalty rates on new production and lower oil and natural gas prices.

Average royalty rates by product for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were 10% for natural gas and liquids and 12% for oil compared to 15% for natural gas and liquids and 16% for oil for the same period in 2011. Average royalty rates by product for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were 12% for natural gas and liquids and 13% for oil compared to 15% for natural gas and liquids and 14% for oil for the same period in 2011. The decrease in oil royalty rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011 is primarily due to lower royalty rates incurred on new production and lower oil and natural gas prices.

Transportation – Transportation costs were \$2.2 million (\$1.02/Boe) for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to \$2.1 million (\$0.92/Boe) for the same period of 2011, an increase of 3% on a dollar basis as a result of increased trucking costs associated with oil production from West Central Saskatchewan. Transportation costs were \$3.9 million (\$0.87/Boe) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$4.1 million (\$0.87/Boe) for the same period in 2011, which is consistent on a \$/boe basis.

Operating – Operating expenses were \$23.3 million (\$10.91/Boe) for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to \$26.6 million (\$11.45/Boe) for the same period of 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012,

natural gas and liquids operating expenses averaged \$1.66/Mcfe and oil operating expenses were \$15.55/Bbl as compared to \$1.78/Mcfe and \$14.55/Bbl respectively for the same period of 2011.

Operating expenses were \$49.7 million (\$11.21/Boe) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to \$53.6 million (\$11.48/Boe) for the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease resulted from a 6% decrease in production volumes and a 5% decrease in per unit costs. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, natural gas and liquids operating expenses averaged \$1.69/Mcfe and oil operating expenses were \$16.18/Bbl as compared to \$1.76/Mcfe and \$15.27/Bbl respectively for the same period of 2011. Operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were lower than the comparable period in 2011 due primarily to further cost control measures integrated in 2012 and disposition of higher operating expense areas in 2011.

Operating netbacks – The table below summarizes operating netbacks by product for the three months ended June 30, 2012:

(\$ thousands, except per unit amounts)	Natural gas and liquids		Oil		Total	
	\$	\$/Mcfe	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Boe
Revenue	32,997	3.10	25,204	69.35	58,201	27.25
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(373)	(0.04)	1,110	3.05	737	0.35
	32,624	3.06	26,314	72.40	58,938	27.60
Royalties	(3,191)	(0.30)	(3,109)	(8.55)	(6,300)	(2.95)
Transportation costs	(1,204)	(0.11)	(979)	(2.69)	(2,183)	(1.02)
Operating costs	(17,648)	(1.66)	(5,652)	(15.55)	(23,300)	(10.91)
Operating netback ⁽¹⁾	10,581	0.99	16,574	45.61	27,155	12.72

⁽¹⁾ Refer to "non-GAAP measurements".

The table below summarizes operating netbacks by product for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

(\$ thousands, except per unit amounts)	Natural gas and liquids		Oil		Total	
	\$	\$/Mcfe	\$	\$/Bbl	\$	\$/Boe
Revenue	75,992	3.46	56,065	72.73	132,057	29.79
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(1,182)	(0.05)	(932)	(1.21)	(2,114)	(0.48)
	74,810	3.41	55,133	71.52	129,943	29.31
Royalties	(9,122)	(0.42)	(7,340)	(9.52)	(16,462)	(3.71)
Transportation costs	(2,309)	(0.11)	(1,552)	(2.01)	(3,861)	(0.87)
Operating costs	(37,237)	(1.69)	(12,476)	(16.18)	(49,713)	(11.21)
Operating netback ⁽¹⁾	26,142	1.19	33,765	43.81	59,907	13.52

⁽¹⁾ Refer to "non-GAAP measurements".

For the second quarter of 2012, operating netback was \$10.6 million (\$0.99/Mcfe) for natural gas and liquids and \$16.6 million (\$45.61/Bbl) for oil compared to an operating netback of \$26.1 million (\$2.35/Mcfe) for natural gas and liquids and \$23.6 million (\$50.06/Bbl) for oil in the same period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, operating netback was \$26.1 million (\$1.19/Mcfe) for natural gas and liquids and \$33.8 million (\$43.81/Bbl) for oil compared to an operating netback of \$52.2 million (\$2.32/Mcfe) for natural gas and liquids and \$41.5 million (\$44.62/Bbl) for oil in the same period of 2011. The decrease in operating netback for natural gas and liquids was due to the significant decreases in natural gas prices.

General and administrative

(\$ thousands except per unit amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Gross general and administrative expenses	6,951	6,015	13,687	12,195
Overhead recoveries	(1,261)	(1,537)	(2,731)	(2,807)
Net general and administrative expenses	5,690	4,478	10,956	9,388
Per Boe	2.66	1.93	2.47	2.01

General and administrative expenses, net of overhead recoveries, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$5.7 million (\$2.66/Boe) compared to \$4.5 million (\$1.93/Boe) in the same period of 2011. General and administrative expenses, net of overhead recoveries, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$11.0 million (\$2.47/Boe) as compared to \$9.4 million (\$2.01/Boe) for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the second quarter and first half of 2012 compared to same period in 2011 was primarily due to restructuring costs, project related consulting costs and lower capital recoveries.

Share-based compensation

(\$ thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock options	610	1,251	1,719	2,347
Restricted stock units	36	238	228	747
Restricted share awards	167	-	342	-
Total	813	1,489	2,289	3,094

NuVista recorded a share-based compensation charge of \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.5 million for the same period in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, NuVista recorded a share-based compensation charge of \$2.3 million compared to \$3.1 million for the same period in 2011. The decrease is primarily due to new options granted at a lower share price and a lower number of units outstanding as a result of a large number of units forfeited in the period. The share-based compensation charge relates to the amortization of the fair value of stock option awards and restricted share awards, and the accrual for future payments under the restricted stock unit incentive plan.

Interest – Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.3 million (\$1.57/Boe) compared to \$4.1 million (\$1.75/Boe) for the same period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, interest expense was \$6.5 million (\$1.47/Boe) compared to \$9.3 million (\$1.98/Boe) in the same period of 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, borrowing costs averaged 3.7% compared to 4.7% in the same period of 2011. Interest expense for the six months ending June 30, 2012 decreased compared to the same period in 2011 due to lower average debt levels and lower average borrowing rate in 2012. Currently, NuVista's average borrowing rate is approximately 4.2%. Cash paid for interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.6 million (June 30, 2011 - \$4.1 million) and \$7.0 million (June 30, 2011 - \$10.0 million) respectively.

Depletion, depreciation and amortization (“DD&A”) and impairment

(\$ thousands except per Boe amounts)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Depletion of oil and gas assets ⁽¹⁾	30,463	34,601	63,081	68,745
Depreciation of fixed assets	1,655	4,683	3,490	9,237
Impairment charges	106,141	-	106,141	-
Total DD&A and impairment	138,259	39,284	172,712	77,982
DD&A rate per Boe before impairment	15.04	16.94	15.05	16.71

⁽¹⁾ Includes depletion of the capitalized portion of the asset retirement obligations that were capitalized to the property, plant and equipment balance and are being depleted over the life of the reserves.

Depletion, depreciation and amortization (“DD&A”) expenses excluding impairments were \$32.1 million (\$15.04/Boe) for the second quarter of 2012 as compared to \$39.3 million (\$16.94/Boe) for the same period in 2011. Depreciation and depletion expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$66.6 million (\$15.05/Boe) as compared to \$78.0 million (\$16.71/Boe) for the same period in 2011. The decrease in the DD&A expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was mainly due to a decrease in production volumes during the period and the decrease in the per unit depletion rate in 2012. Per unit costs in 2012 decreased from the same period in 2011 due primarily to the impairment of the net book value of property, plant and equipment of \$147.7 million in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Based on an assessment of indicators of impairment performed at June 30, 2012, an impairment test was completed on NuVista’s natural gas cash generating units (“CGUs”). An impairment test was performed on NuVista’s natural gas producing assets at June 30, 2012 due to a decrease in the forward price curve for natural gas at June 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011. NuVista recognized an impairment charge of \$106.1 million (December 31, 2011 – \$147.7 million) related to four CGUs in Northern and Eastern Alberta and in Saskatchewan, which has been included as depletion, depreciation, amortization and impairment expense on the statement of earnings. The recoverable amount was based on expected future cash flows from proved and probable reserves using a pre-tax discount rate of 10% (2011 – 10%), based on an independent external reserves report updated to reflect pricing at July 1, 2012.

Goodwill – Goodwill was recorded from various business acquisitions and was determined based on the excess of total consideration paid less the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired. IFRS standards require that the goodwill balance be assessed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the balance might be impaired. An impairment test was not required to be performed on June 30, 2012.

Asset retirement obligations – Asset retirement obligations (“ARO”) are based on estimated costs to reclaim and abandon ownership interests in oil and natural gas assets including well sites, gathering systems and processing facilities. At June 30, 2012, NuVista recorded an ARO of \$177.4 million as compared to \$174.7 million as at December 31, 2011. The majority of the increase is due to a decrease in the risk free discount rate to 2.33% at June 30, 2012 from 2.49% at December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the estimated total undiscounted amount of cash flow required to settle NuVista’s ARO is \$267.3 million (December 31, 2011 – \$276.3 million), which is estimated to be incurred over the next 51 years. The majority of the costs are expected to be incurred between 2013 and 2031.

There are uncertainties related to asset retirement obligations and the impact on the financial statements could be material as the eventual timing and expected costs to settle these obligations could differ from our estimates. The main factors that could cause expected costs to differ are changes to laws, regulations, reserve estimates, costs and technology. Any reclamation or abandonment expenditures will generally be funded from cash flow from operating activities.

Income taxes – For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the provision for income and other taxes was a recovery of \$28.8 million compared to an expense of \$8.1 million for the same period in 2011. For the six months

ended June 30, 2012, the provision for income and other taxes was an recovery of \$29.4 million compared to an expense of \$5.2 million in the same period of 2011. The recovery for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily attributable to the impairment of the net book value of property, plant and equipment recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Capital expenditures

(\$ thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Exploration and development				
Land and retention costs	181	109	453	1,802
Seismic	988	1,028	2,387	2,899
Drilling and completion	8,505	9,016	39,963	41,010
Facilities and equipment	9,130	5,026	27,741	12,162
Corporate and other	1	(179)	108	(88)
Subtotal	18,805	15,000	70,652	57,785
Alberta drilling incentive credits	-	-	-	(3,070)
Subtotal	18,805	15,000	70,652	54,715
Property acquisitions	-	-	1,016	62
Net capital expenditures	18,805	15,000	71,668	54,777

Capital expenditures were \$18.8 million during the second quarter of 2012, consisting entirely of exploration and development spending. This compares to \$15.0 million incurred on exploration and development spending for the second quarter of 2011. The majority of the capital expenditures in the second quarter were spent on oil and liquids-rich natural gas projects. Capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$71.7 million, consisting of \$70.7 million of exploration and development spending and \$1.0 million of property acquisitions. This compares to \$54.8 million incurred for the same period of 2011, consisting of \$54.7 million (net of drilling credits) of exploration and development spending and \$0.1 million of property acquisitions.

Net earnings (loss) – For the three months ended June 30, 2012, net loss totaled \$85.4 million (\$0.86/share, basic) compared to net earnings of \$22.4 million (\$0.23/share, basic) for the same period in 2011. NuVista's net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$88.6 million (\$0.89/share, basic) compared to net earnings of \$12.9 million (\$0.13/share, basic) in the same period in 2011. The net loss for the period ended June 30, 2012 was attributable to lower oil and natural gas prices and an impairment expense recorded in the period.

Adjusted net earnings (loss) – The table below summarizes adjusted net earnings (loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011:

(\$ thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net earnings (loss)	(85,411)	22,445	(88,558)	12,855
Add (deduct):				
Unrealized gain on commodity derivatives, after tax	(8,512)	(4,915)	(11,192)	(1,312)
Impairment of property, plant and equipment, after tax	79,255	-	79,255	-
Gain on divestitures, after tax	-	(20,099)	(5,071)	(21,204)
Adjusted net loss ⁽¹⁾	(14,668)	(2,569)	(25,566)	(9,661)
Per basic share	(0.15)	(0.03)	(0.26)	(0.10)
Per diluted share	(0.15)	(0.03)	(0.26)	(0.10)

⁽¹⁾ Refer to "non-GAAP measurements".

Funds from operations – For the three months ended June 30, 2012, NuVista’s funds from operations were \$18.1 million (\$0.18/share, basic), a 56% decrease from \$41.0 million (\$0.41/share, basic) for the three months ended June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, funds from operations were \$42.2 million (\$0.42/share, basic), a 43% decrease from \$74.3 million (\$0.78/share, basic) in the same period of 2011. Funds from operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were lower compared with the same period in 2011 due primarily to lower natural gas prices and lower production levels during the period.

Funds from operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012 decreased 25% from funds from operations of \$24.1 (\$0.24/share, basic) million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a further decrease natural gas prices and lower production levels in the second quarter.

A reconciliation of funds from operations is presented in the following table:

(\$ thousands)	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cash provided by operating activities	22,936	36,096	38,897	59,690
Add back:				
Asset retirement expenditures	1,056	236	11,880	3,634
Change in non-cash working capital	(5,909)	4,631	(8,570)	10,938
Funds from operations ⁽¹⁾	18,083	40,963	42,207	74,262

⁽¹⁾ Refer to “non-GAAP measurements”.

The table below summarizes funds from operations netbacks for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011:

(\$ thousands, except per unit amounts)	Three months ended June 30,					
	2012		2011		% Change	
	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe
Revenue	58,201	27.25	95,719	41.27	(39)	(34)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	737	0.35	(2,991)	(1.29)	(125)	(127)
	58,938	27.60	92,728	39.98	(36)	(31)
Royalties	(6,300)	(2.95)	(14,311)	(6.17)	(56)	(52)
Transportation	(2,183)	(1.02)	(2,124)	(0.92)	3	11
Operating costs	(23,300)	(10.91)	(26,551)	(11.45)	(12)	(5)
Operating netback	27,155	12.72	49,742	21.44	(45)	(41)
General and administrative	(5,690)	(2.66)	(4,478)	(1.93)	27	38
Restricted stock units	(36)	(0.02)	(238)	(0.10)	(85)	(80)
Interest	(3,346)	(1.57)	(4,063)	(1.75)	(18)	(10)
Funds from operations netback ⁽¹⁾	18,083	8.47	40,963	17.66	(56)	(52)

⁽¹⁾ Refer to “non-GAAP measurements”.

The table below summarizes funds from operations netbacks for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011:

(\$ thousands, except per unit amounts)	Six months ended June 30,					
	2012		2011		% Change	
	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe	\$	\$/Boe
Revenue	132,057	29.79	183,956	39.42	(28)	(24)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	(2,114)	(0.48)	(5,949)	(1.27)	(64)	(62)
	129,943	29.31	178,007	38.15	(27)	(23)
Royalties	(16,462)	(3.71)	(26,729)	(5.73)	(38)	(35)
Transportation	(3,861)	(0.87)	(4,066)	(0.87)	(5)	-
Operating costs	(49,713)	(11.21)	(53,554)	(11.48)	(7)	(2)
Operating netback	59,907	13.52	93,658	20.07	(36)	(33)
General and administrative	(10,956)	(2.47)	(9,388)	(2.01)	17	23
Restricted stock units	(228)	(0.05)	(747)	(0.16)	(69)	(69)
Interest	(6,516)	(1.47)	(9,261)	(1.98)	(30)	(26)
Funds from operations netback ⁽¹⁾	42,207	9.53	74,262	15.92	(43)	(40)

⁽¹⁾ Refer to "non-GAAP measurements".

Liquidity and capital resources

(\$ thousands)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Common shares outstanding	99,513	99,513
Share price ⁽¹⁾	3.59	5.24
Total market capitalization	357,252	521,448
Adjusted working capital (surplus) deficit ⁽²⁾	11,996	17,360
Bank debt	327,115	289,431
Debt, net of adjusted working capital ("Net Debt")	339,111	306,791
Trailing 12 months funds from operations ⁽²⁾	132,367	164,019
Net debt to trailing 12 months funds from operations	2.6	1.9

⁽¹⁾ Represents the closing price on the TSX on June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

⁽²⁾ Refer to the "non-GAAP measurements".

As at June 30, 2012, debt net of adjusted working capital was \$339.1 million, resulting in a net debt to the trailing twelve months funds from operations ratio of 2.6:1. NuVista's strategy is to target a net debt to trailing twelve months funds from operations of less than 2.0:1. The actual ratio may fluctuate on a quarterly basis above or below target due to a number of factors including commodity prices the timing of acquisitions and dispositions. At June 30, 2012, NuVista had an adjusted working capital deficit of \$12.0 million. Adjusted working capital excludes the current portion of the fair value of the commodity derivative liabilities of \$0.6 million. We believe it is appropriate to exclude this amount when assessing financial leverage. At June 30, 2012, NuVista had drawn \$327.1 million on the credit facility leaving \$52.9 million of unused bank borrowing capacity based on the current credit facility of \$380 million.

As of June 30, 2012, NuVista had a \$380 million extendible revolving term credit facility available from a syndicate of Canadian chartered banks. Borrowing under the credit facility may be made by prime loans, bankers' acceptances and/or US libor advances. These advances bear interest at the bank's prime rate and/or at money market rates plus a borrowing margin. The credit facility is secured by a first floating charge debenture, general assignment of book debts and NuVista's oil and natural gas properties and equipment. The credit facility has a 364-day revolving period and is subject to an annual review by the lenders, at which time a lender can extend the revolving period or can request conversion to a one year term loan. During the revolving period, a determination of the maximum borrowing amount occurs semi-annually on approximately October 31. During the term period,

no principal payments would be required until April 29, 2014. As such, this credit facility is classified as long-term.

At June 30, 2012, NuVista's bank debt net of adjusted working capital increased to \$339.1 million compared to \$306.8 million at December 31, 2011. Improving NuVista's financial flexibility and reducing debt levels continues to be a priority in 2012. NuVista is pursuing several alternatives to manage its financial flexibility during this period of lower natural gas prices and cash flow, including the reduction of capital expenditures and the disposition of non-strategic assets. Year to date, NuVista has completed minor property dispositions for total cash consideration of \$9.2 million.

As at June 30, 2012, there were 99.5 million common shares outstanding. In addition, there were 5.8 million stock options and 0.2 million restricted share awards outstanding, with an average exercise price of \$9.78 per option. As of July 31, 2012, there were 99.5 million common shares outstanding.

Contractual obligations and commitments – NuVista enters into various contractual obligations as part of conducting business. The following is a summary of NuVista's contractual obligations and commitments as at June 30, 2012:

	Total	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter
Transportation	\$ 22,973	\$2,471	\$ 5,221	\$5,396	\$4,563	\$3,600	\$1,722
Office lease	19,442	1,667	3,667	3,645	3,645	3,719	3,099
Physical power	3,450	1,150	2,300	-	-	-	-
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	327,115	-	327,115	-	-	-	-
Total commitments	\$372,980	\$5,288	\$338,303	\$9,041	\$8,208	\$7,319	\$4,821

⁽¹⁾ A new credit facility agreement was entered into in April 30, 2012 no principal payment would be required until April 29, 2014.

Off "balance sheet" arrangements – NuVista has certain lease arrangements, all of which are reflected in the contractual obligations and commitments table, which were entered into in the normal course of operations. All leases have been treated as operating leases whereby the lease payments are included in operating expenses or general and administrative expenses depending on the nature of the lease.

Quarterly financial information – The following table highlights NuVista's performance for the eight quarterly reporting periods from September 30, 2010 to June 30, 2012:

(\$ thousands, except per share amounts)	2012			2011			2010	
	Jun 30	Mar 31	Dec 31	Sep 30	Jun 30	Mar 31	Dec 31	Sep 30
Production (Boe/d)	23,467	25,250	25,306	25,360	25,488	26,078	28,165	28,244
Revenue	58,201	73,856	96,578	88,700	95,719	88,237	89,552	88,733
Net earnings (loss)	(85,411)	(3,147)	(158,462)	1,807	22,445	(9,590)	(20,965)	(18,194)
Net earnings (loss)								
Per basic share	(0.86)	(0.03)	(1.59)	0.02	0.23	(0.10)	(0.24)	(0.21)
Per diluted share	(0.86)	(0.03)	(1.59)	0.02	0.23	(0.10)	(0.24)	(0.21)

NuVista has seen production volumes in a range of 23,467 Boe/d to 28,244 Boe/d for the last eight quarters. NuVista's production volumes remained relatively flat over the five quarters prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Production declined in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to scheduled and unscheduled third party facility outages. Over the prior eight quarters, quarterly revenue has been in a range of \$58.2 million to \$96.6 million with revenue primarily influenced by production volumes and commodity prices. Net earnings have been in a range of a net loss of \$158.5 million to net earnings of \$22.4 million with earnings primarily influenced by impairments, gains and losses from disposal of assets, commodity prices, realized and unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and production volumes.

Critical accounting estimates – Management is required to make judgements, assumptions and estimates in applying its accounting policies which have significant impact on the financial results of NuVista. The following outline the accounting policies involving the use of estimates that are critical to understanding the financial condition and results of operations of NuVista.

- (a) **Oil and natural gas reserves** – Oil and natural gas reserves, as defined by the Canadian Securities Administrators in National Instrument 51-101 with reference to the Canadian Oil and Natural Gas Evaluation Handbook, are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated reserves.

An independent reserve evaluator using all available geological and reservoir data as well as historical production data has prepared NuVista's oil and natural gas reserve estimates. Estimates are reviewed and revised as appropriate. Revisions occur as a result of changes in prices, costs, fiscal regimes, reservoir performance or a change in NuVista's development plans.

- (b) **Exploration and evaluation assets** – The costs of drilling exploratory wells are initially capitalized as exploration and evaluation ("E&E") assets pending the evaluation of commercial reserves. Commercial reserves are defined as the existence of proved and probable reserves which are determined to be technically feasible and commercially viable to extract. Reserves may be considered commercially producible if management has the intention of developing and producing them based on factors such as project economics, quantities of reserves, expected production techniques, unsuccessful drilling results and estimated production costs and capital expenditures. Once a judgment is made that the reserves are commercially viable, an impairment test is performed prior to the transfer to property, plant and equipment.
- (c) **Development and production assets** – Once an oil and gas property is transferred to property, plant and equipment, all subsequent development costs are capitalized.
- (d) **Depletion, depreciation, amortization and impairment** – Property, plant and equipment is measured at cost less accumulated depletion, depreciation, amortization and impairment losses. The net carrying value of property, plant and equipment and estimated future development costs is depleted using the unit-of-production method based on estimated proved and probable reserves. Changes in estimated proved and probable reserves or future development costs have a direct impact in the calculation of depletion expense.

NuVista is required to use judgment when designating the nature of oil and gas activities as exploration and evaluation assets or development and production assets within property, plant and equipment. Exploration and evaluation assets and development and production assets are aggregated into CGUs based on their ability to generate largely independent cash flows. The allocation of NuVista's assets into CGUs requires significant judgment with respect to use of shared infrastructure, existence of active markets for NuVista's products and the way in which management monitors operations.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures relating to activities to explore and evaluate oil and natural gas properties are initially capitalized and include costs associated with the acquisition of licenses, technical services and studies, seismic acquisition, exploration drilling and testing, directly attributable overhead and administration expenses, and costs associated with retiring the assets. Exploration and evaluation assets are carried forward until technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource is determined. Technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting a mineral resource is considered to be determined when proved and/or probable reserves are determined to exist. E&E assets are tested for impairment when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount of E&E assets may exceed their recoverable amount, by comparing the relevant costs to the fair value of CGUs, aggregated at the segment level. The determination of the fair value of CGUs requires the use of assumptions and estimates including quantities of recoverable reserves, production quantities, future commodity prices and development and operating costs. Changes in any of these assumptions, such as a downward revision in reserves, decrease in commodity prices or increase in costs, could impact the fair value.

NuVista assesses property, plant and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset or group of assets may not be recoverable. If

any such indication of impairment exists, NuVista performs an impairment test related to the specific CGU. The determination of fair value of CGUs requires the use of assumptions and estimates including quantities of recoverable reserves, production quantities, future commodity prices and development and operating costs. Changes in any of these assumptions, such as a downward revision in reserves, decrease in commodity prices or increase in costs, could impact the fair value.

- (e) **Asset retirement obligations** – The asset retirement obligations are estimated based on existing laws, contracts or other policies. The fair value of the obligation is based on estimated future costs for abandonments and reclamations discounted at a risk free rate. The costs are included in property, plant and equipment and amortized over its useful life. The liability is adjusted each reporting period to reflect the passage of time, with the accretion expense charged to net earnings, and for revisions to the estimated future cash flows. By their nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and the impact on the consolidated financial statements could be material.
- (f) **Income taxes** – The determination of income and other tax liabilities requires interpretation of complex laws and regulations often involving multiple jurisdictions. All tax filings are subject to audit and potential reassessment after the lapse of considerable time. Accordingly, the actual income tax liability may differ significantly from that estimated and recorded.
- (g) **Financial instruments** – NuVista utilizes financial instruments to manage the exposure to market risks relating to commodity prices. Fair values of derivative contracts fluctuate depending on the underlying estimate of future commodity prices and foreign currency exchange rates.
- (h) **Goodwill** – Goodwill is recorded on a business combination when the total purchase consideration exceeds the fair value of the net identifiable assets and liabilities of the acquired entity. The goodwill balance is allocated to the individual CGUs that are expected to benefit from the synergies of the business combination. Goodwill is not amortized, however it must be assessed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may be impaired. To assess for impairment, the carrying amount of each CGU is compared to the recoverable amount. If the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount, the associated goodwill is written down with an impairment recognized in net earnings. Goodwill impairments are not reversed. The recoverable amount is the greater of the fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. Fair value less costs to sell is derived by estimating the discounted future net cash flows for the CGU. Discounted future net cash flows are based on forecasted commodity prices and costs over the expected economic life of the proved and probable reserves and discounted using market-based rates. A downward revision in reserves estimates could result in the recognition of a goodwill impairment charge to net earnings.

Update on regulatory matters

Environmental – There are no new material environmental initiatives impacting NuVista at this time.

Internal control reporting

NuVista's President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting as defined in National Instrument 52-109. NuVista's CEO and CFO have designed disclosure controls and procedures, or caused them to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by NuVista in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the securities legislation and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by NuVista in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is accumulated and communicated to NuVista's management, including its certifying officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The CEO and CFO have concluded, based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by the interim filings that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information related to NuVista, is made known to them by others within the Company.

The CEO and CFO have also designed internal controls over financial reporting, or caused them to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of NuVista's financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP and includes those policies and procedures that: (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of NuVista; (b) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of NuVista are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of NuVista; and (c) are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of NuVista's assets that could have a material effect on the interim consolidated financial statements. NuVista has designed its internal controls over financial reporting based on the framework in "Internal Control Over Financial Reporting – Guidance for Smaller Public Companies" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, there have been no changes to NuVista's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially or are reasonably likely to materially affect the internal controls over financial reporting; the CEO and CFO have concluded that the internal controls over financial reporting are effective.

Because of their inherent limitations, disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements, error or fraud. Control systems, no matter how well conceived or operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance, that the objectives of the control system are met.

Assessment of business risks

The following are the primary risks associated with the business of NuVista. Most of these risks are similar to those affecting others in the conventional oil and natural gas sector. NuVista's financial position and results of operations are directly impacted by these factors:

- Operational risk associated with the production of oil and natural gas;
- Reserves risk with respect to the quantity and quality of recoverable reserves;
- Commodity risk as crude oil and natural gas prices fluctuate due to market forces;
- Financial risk such as volatility of the Cdn/US dollar exchange rate, interest rates and debt service obligations;
- Risk associated with the re-negotiation of NuVista's credit facility and the continued participation of NuVista's lenders;
- Market risk relating to the availability of transportation systems to move the product to market;
- Environmental and safety risk associated with well operations and production facilities;
- Changing government regulations relating to royalty legislation, income tax laws, incentive programs, operating practices and environmental protection relating to the oil and natural gas industry; and
- Labour risks related to availability, productivity and retention of qualified personnel.

NuVista seeks to mitigate these risks by:

- Acquiring properties with established production trends to reduce technical uncertainty as well as undeveloped land with development potential;
- Maintaining a low cost structure to maximize product netbacks and reduce impact of commodity price cycles;
- Diversifying properties to mitigate individual property and well risk;
- Maintaining product mix to balance exposure to commodity prices;
- Conducting rigorous reviews of all property acquisitions;
- Monitoring pricing trends and developing a mix of contractual arrangements for the marketing of products with creditworthy counterparties;
- Maintaining a price risk management program to manage commodity prices and foreign exchange currency rates risk and transacting with creditworthy counterparties;
- Ensuring strong third-party operators for non-operated properties;
- Adhering to NuVista's safety program and keeping abreast of current operating best practices;

- Keeping informed of proposed changes in regulations and laws to properly respond to and plan for the effects that these changes may have on our operations;
- Carrying industry standard insurance to cover losses;
- Establishing and maintaining adequate cash resources to fund future abandonment and site restoration costs;
- Closely monitoring commodity prices and capital programs to manage financial leverage; and
- Monitoring the bank and equity markets to understand how changes in the capital market may impact NuVista's business plan.

Information regarding risk factors associated with the business of NuVista and how NuVista seeks to mitigate these risks is contained in our Annual Information Form for the year ended December 31, 2011 under the Risk Factors Section.

OUTLOOK

NuVista's average production guidance for 2012 is between 23,000 Boe/d and 24,000 Boe/d, prior to the impact of potential divestitures. Funds from operations for 2012 are forecast at approximately \$70 million based on a forecast AECO natural gas price of \$2.43/Mcf, WTI oil price of US\$94.00/Bbl and incorporating our price risk management contracts. A constrained capital spending program for the year is forecast at a range of between \$90 million and \$130 million, contingent on the amount and timing of results from capital funding alternatives.

NuVista's disciplined deployment of capital on its material key plays, while maintaining a prudent focus on the balance sheet, has resulted in significant shareholder value creation through the proving of Montney and W5 Spirit River/Notikewin lands over the past year and will lead to continued value creation over the long term. Over the next few months, with additional production data from our Wapiti wells, access to additional capital, and more clarity on the outlook for natural gas prices, we expect to provide more details on our future growth plans. With a talented and motivated workforce and a business strategy focused on discipline, execution and profitability, we look forward to updating you on the progress in this value creation process as we move through 2012.